Newspaper, Newsprint, Magazine and Film Delivery Drivers, Helpers and Handlers

OF ALLEGHENY COUNTY

Ceamsters Local Union No. 211



1903 Penthouse • 625 Stanwix Street
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15222
Phone: (412) 281-2242

mathe 10

JOSEPH A. MOLINERO
President

JOSEPH J. BARBANO Secretary-Treasurer Received & Inspected

MAR 2 1 2012

FCC Mail Room

03/16/12

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street S.W. Washington DC 20554

Re: Application of Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless and Spectrum Co LLC For Consent to Assign Licenses; Application of Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless and Cox TMI Wireless, LLC, WT Docket No. 12-4

market region 1 and the fig. of the engine of the contract of

Dear Ms. Dortch:

As a consumer and Union Officer, I am writing to express my concern over Verizon Wireless' joint marketing and spectrum acquisition agreement with cable companies and how it will impact both consumers and union members. As I understand it, Verizon Wireless and the major cable companies (Comcast, Time Warner, Bright House Networks and, in a separate deal, Cox) will jointly market each other's products. Verizon Wireless and the cable companies will offer a "quadruple play:" wireless service, broadband, video content ("cable TV"), and telephone service. Verizon Wireless will also purchase \$3.9 billion worth of wireless spectrum from Comcast, Time Warner, and Bright House Networks. This deal reverses the long-time rivalry between cable and telephone companies by creating an alliance with overwhelming market power to stifle competition.

I believe this proposal raises a few concerns for both consumers and union members, including: (1) the lack of consumer choice, (2) price increases and a decrease in service quality, and (3) the decrease in jobs due to the disincentive for Verizon to build-out FiOS.

No. of Copies rec'd \ \tilde{\Omega} List ABCDE

First, I am concerned that consumers will no longer be able to choose the company from which they want to buy their services. Verizon Wireless and Comcast are, respectively, the nation's largest wireless provider and the largest cable provider. Time Warner and Cox are also the dominant cable company in their regions. The exclusive ability to offer a "quadruple play" and their already dominant status in the market will allow the Verizon Wireless/cable company alliance to exercise unprecedented market power. This deal is contrary to the purpose of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, which intends to generate competition for the benefit of consumers with lower prices, better service, and innovation.

Second, I am concerned with the potential increase in cable and wireless prices and lower service quality. The Verizon Wireless/Comcast/Time Warner/Cox behemoth will eliminate competition. Since wireless, cable, internet and internet-telephone prices are unregulated, prices and service quality will be subject to the desires of an unregulated monopoly by these telecommunications giants. Without any competition, the Verizon/Time Warner/Comcast quasi-monopoly will increase prices for these essential services. Also, the lack of competition fails to provide an incentive to maintain or improve the quality of services, which will result in a reduction in service quality for consumers.

Third, I am concerned that the transaction will be a detriment to job creation. If this deal is approved, Verizon Wireless will partner with cable to market each others' services. As a result, Verizon will have strong incentives not to compete against its new cable partners and, in turn, lack the incentive to build-out FiOS. The direct job loss will be the hundreds of technicians that would be employed building, installing and maintaining FiOS in the area.

I respectfully request that the Federal Communications Commission will address the above mentioned concerns before approving such a transaction. It is imperative that we protect consumers and union members alike.

Respectfully,

Joseph & Molinero, President

Teamsters Local 211